	1	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 10-CR-687 (CBA)	
4	: :	
5	: -against- :	
6	: United States Courthouse : Brooklyn, New York :	
7	MARK THOMPSON, : December 21, 2010	
8	: 9:30 a.m.  Defendant.	
9	X	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR SENTENCING	
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROL B. AMON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12	APPEARANCES:	
13	For the Government: LORETTA E. LYNCH, ESQ.	
14	United States Attorney Eastern District of New York	
15 16	271 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201 BY: AMIR TOOSSI, ESQ.	
17	Assistant United States Attorney	
18	For the Defendant: GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP	
19	200 Park Avenue New York, New York 10166-0193	
20	BY: ERIC CREÍZMAN, ESQ.	
21	Probation: Cheryl Fiorillo	
22	Court Reporter: Marie Foley, RMR, CRR	
23	Official Court Reporter Telephone: (718) 613-2596	
24	Facsimile: (718) 613-2648 E-mail: Marie_Foley@nyed.uscourts.gov	
25	Proceedings recorded by computerized stenography. Transcript produced by Computer-aided Transcription.	

	Proceedings 2
1	(In open court.)
2	(Judge Amon takes the bench.)
3	(Defendant enters.)
4	COURTROOM DEPUTY: United States against Thompson.
5	Please state your appearances for the record.
6	MR. TOOSSI: Amir Toossi for the Government, and
7	Cheryl Fiorillo from Probation. Good morning, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Good morning.
9	MR. CREIZMAN: Good morning, your Honor. Eric
10	Creizman, CJA appointed counsel for Mr. Thompson from Gibson,
11	Dunn and Crutcher.
12	THE COURT: Good morning.
13	I think the first matter that we need to take up is
14	the plea before the magistrate judge.
15	MR. CREIZMAN: Yes, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: I just wanted to see a plea agreement.
17	The Government is providing me a copy.
18	(Pause in the proceedings.)
19	THE COURT: I've reviewed the minutes.
20	Have you reviewed the minutes, Mr. Toossi?
21	MR. TOOSSI: Actually, I apologize. No, your Honor,
22	I haven't.
23	THE COURT: Pardon me?
24	MR. TOOSSI: I did not, no.
25	THE COURT: Mr. Creizman, have you reviewed the

	Proceedings 3
1	minutes?
2	MR. CREIZMAN: Your Honor, I don't believe I have.
3	THE COURT: The only concern I have, and it's
4	entirely possible that I missed it, but I don't believe that
5	the defendant was advised of his appeal waiver.
6	MR. T00SSI: I believe he was. I don't have any
7	specific recollection of that.
8	MR. CREIZMAN: I believe he was also, but I also
9	don't have a specific recollection.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Let me see.
11	(Pause in the proceedings.)
12	THE COURT: I have a copy of the minutes, and I just
13	want to have the parties look at it.
14	Do you have your own copy?
15	MR. CREIZMAN: I don't, actually. I didn't bring it
16	here.
17	THE COURT: It's entirely possible I missed it, but
18	I want to advise the defendant of that before I accept the
19	plea.
20	MR. CREIZMAN: Sure.
21	THE COURT: I could have certainly missed it.
22	(Pause in the proceedings.)
23	MR. TOOSSI: Your Honor, it's here.
24	THE COURT: It is there?
25	MR. TOOSSI: It's on page ten between lines eleven

### Proceedings 4 1 and sixteen. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. Thank you. 3 (Pause in the proceedings.) 4 THE COURT: No, that just says --5 MR. TOOSSI: That's not the plea agreement waiver. 6 That's just his waiver of rights. 7 That's the only reference to his right to appeal that I can see in there. So I think your Honor is correct; I 8 9 don't think he was advised of his appellate waiver that's 10 contained in the plea agreement. 11 THE COURT: Then before I accept the plea, I want to 12 make sure that the defendant understands that. 13 Mr. Thompson, pursuant to the terms of your plea 14 agreement, you gave up another right that you had in addition to your right to trial and all the other rights that were 15 addressed by the magistrate judge. You also gave up your 16 17 right to file an appeal or otherwise challenge by petition 18 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 2255 or any other provision the 19 conviction or sentence in the event that the Court imposed a 20 term of imprisonment of fourteen months or below. 21 What that means is ordinarily if you plead guilty, 22 that means you've admitted the crime. You can't go back and 23 file an appeal on whether you did the crime or not, but you do 24 have a right, absent an agreement like this, to appeal your

sentence if you thought the court made some mistake in

#### Proceedings 5 1 imposing your sentence. 2 Do you understand that? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: But in this plea agreement, you're 5 giving up that right to appeal your sentence or the right to come back later at some time when you're in custody and file a 6 7 habeas corpus petition about your sentence or conviction as long as I give you a sentence of fourteen months or below. 8 9 Did you understand that at the time you pled guilty? Did you know that provision was in your plea agreement? 10 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Well, do you understand what right 13 you're giving up in connection with that as part of your plea 14 agreement? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Do you still want to pursue your plea of guilty and have me accept that plea of guilty, or not? 17 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: So you still want to plead guilty? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 THE COURT: All right. Well, with that addition, 22 and having reviewed the plea minutes, I'm satisfied that I 23 should now accept the recommendation to accept the guilty 24 There was a factual basis for the plea, and the plea. 25 defendant was acting knowingly and voluntarily and appears

	Proceedings	6
1	from the colloquy to understand his rights and the	
2	consequences of his plea. So I'll accept the plea.	
3	Now, let me ask you, Mr. Creizman, have you been	
4	over the presentence report?	
5	MR. CREIZMAN: I have, your Honor.	
6	THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your client?	
7	MR. CREIZMAN: I have.	
8	THE COURT: Mr. Thompson, have you read the	
9	presentence report?	
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.	
11	THE COURT: And have you discussed it with your	
12	lawyer?	
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.	
14	THE COURT: Are you satisfied to have him represent	
15	you?	
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.	
17	THE COURT: Now, I received, actually, two letters,	
18	a substantive letter from you, counsel, dated December 10th	
19	and then a letter supplementing the basis for wanting that	
20	letter sealed.	
21	MR. CREIZMAN: Right.	
22	THE COURT: Then there is yet another letter that	
23	was submitted yesterday, right?	
24	MR. CREIZMAN: Correct, your Honor.	
25	THE COURT: And the Government has sent a letter	

	Proceedings	7
1	December 14th.	
2	Is there any other materials that the Court should	
3	have?	
4	MR. CREIZMAN: Yes, your Honor.	
5	Yesterday I sent in a reply to the Government's	
6	brief, and in addition, I forwarded a letter from the mother	
7	of Mr. Thompson's children, a Renice Rivera, and I don't know	
8	if your Honor received that.	
9	THE COURT: I don't have that.	
10	MR. CREIZMAN: If I can hand one up to your Honor.	
11	(Handing.)	
12	MR. CREIZMAN: Sorry for the condition of the	
13	letter.	
14	I have a copy of the reply as well.	
15	THE COURT: I have the reply. The December 20th	
16	letter, correct?	
17	MR. CREIZMAN: That's correct. From me, yes.	
18	(Pause in the proceedings.)	
19	THE COURT: All right. Do you want to be heard, Mr.	
20	Creizman?	
21	MR. CREIZMAN: I do, your Honor.	
22	Your Honor, this is a fairly straightforward	
23	argument I have for sentencing. Mr. Thompson cooperated and	
24	provided substantial assistance to state authorities. He wore	;
25	a wire while in prison in Rikers Island and taped a	
		ļ

conversation with a violent felon, a gang member. Because of that substantial assistance, the assistant district attorney and the district attorney's office approved that -- allowed Mr. Thompson to plead guilty to a misdemeanor count of reckless endangerment for a sentence of ninety days. That satisfied the city's interests in prosecuting the matter.

In addition to that, there is a certificate of disposition pointed out by Probation in paragraph forty-three of their report, that specifies that the Richmond County sentence, the ninety-day sentence, should run concurrently to the sentence for the instant offense. Now, that does not obviously --

THE COURT: I'm sorry. What are you referring to?

MR. CREIZMAN: In addition to the ninety-day
sentence, there is a certificate of disposition from the
Supreme Court of Richmond County that, I guess, recommends
that the state sentence should run concurrently to the
sentence for the federal, run concurrently to the federal
sentence.

Now, obviously that's not binding on this court, but it demonstrates that Staten Island in representing the city believes that its interests were fulfilled in its prosecution of Mr. Thompson by the ninety-day sentence and that it would be fulfilled if that ninety-day sentence ran concurrently with the sentence in this case.

#### 9 Proceedings We know that both the Government and the defense 1 2 agree that the guidelines here for this offense are eight to 3 twelve months. 4 THE COURT: Fourteen, I think. Eight to fourteen months? 5 MR. CREIZMAN: MR. TOOSSI: Yes. 6 MR. CREIZMAN: Okay. I'm sorry. Eight to fourteen 7 8 months. 9 If we run the sentences concurrently and look at 10 how --11 THE COURT: Well, he's already served his state 12 There's nothing to run concurrent. sentence. 13 MR. CREIZMAN: Right. I understand that, your 14 But, if you run the November -- if he was in jail from November 20th when he was caught for his state offense while 15 he was a fugitive, he spent eight months in Rikers Island, and 16 then another four months in the MDC. And your Honor can 17 18 recognize that both of these institutions are not prison 19 camps. They are harsh. They are harsh institutions. 20 So, if you -- he had four months remaining on his 21 sentence when he escaped from the halfway house. So, if you 22 take off --23 THE COURT: Well, yes. What happens with that? 24 MR. CREIZMAN: Well, I think there's a -- if he 25 serves his -- he had four months undischarged.

	Proceedings 10
1	THE COURT: So how does my sentence work with that?
2	MR. CREIZMAN: Under the guidelines, the guidelines
3	recommend that your Honor run it consec the undischarged
4	term run consecutively with the sentence for this offense.
5	That's what the guidelines recommend.
6	But, as I said
7	THE COURT: Excuse me. I'm sorry, Mr. Creizman.
8	(Pause in the proceedings.)
9	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Go ahead.
10	MR. CREIZMAN: Sure.
11	But, as I said, your Honor
12	THE COURT: Well, has he begun to serve the four
13	months?
14	MR. CREIZMAN: Well, in my opinion, yes, and I'll
15	explain why.
16	I think that the eight months he spent in Rikers,
17	plus the four months in the MDC, he's already served four
18	months in the MDC.
19	THE COURT: So that counts to the undischarged
20	portion of his sentence?
21	MR. CREIZMAN: That could count to the undischarged
22	portion of his sentence.
23	I mean, it's within your Honor's discretion to
24	require that the undischarged portion of his sentence be
25	served consecutively. The guidelines recommend it, but it's

not mandatory.

But, what I'm saying here is that if the four months he has served in prison, whether or not, you know, it's state prison or federal prison, what I'm arguing is that he served time in prison for twelve months. Four of those months, let's count that towards the undischarged term of imprisonment, the four months from the time he escaped, and then another eight months in the confines of Rikers and the MDC all together, in my view, I think satisfies the guideline sentence here. Not technically, but I think it should satisfy the guideline sentence here. I think it should satisfy his need for --

THE COURT: Why should he get a sentence that's concurrent to the undischarged portion of the sentence he was previously serving? Why does that make any sense? Quite apart from Rikers, why would that make sense?

MR. CREIZMAN: Well, your Honor, I think for one thing, he's served a substantial amount of time in harsh prison confines.

Number two, he's demonstrated a willingness to turn his life around by cooperating. He's 27 years old. He's been in jail for much of his life since at least the age of 20 and then before that in juvenile detention homes and so forth.

He has two children. He is interested in starting a new life in terms of getting a job, and the letter from the mother of his children testifies to that, and she's in a job

	Proceedings 12
1	placement company where she can actually help Mr. Thompson,
2	and Mr. Thompson's fortunate for that.
3	So, in my view, he has served hard time. He has
4	paid for his sentence. I think that all of the goals of
5	sentencing have been satisfied in this case by his twelve
6	months, four months in the MDC and eight months at Rikers
7	Island.
8	Over and above the ninety-day sentence imposed by
9	the state, I think that that whether you run that
10	concurrently or whether your Honor wants to run it
11	consecutively
12	THE COURT: Well, if you're talking about the state
13	sentence, there's nothing to run concurrently or consecutive.
14	He's served his state sentence.
15	MR. CREIZMAN: I understand.
16	THE COURT: The question would be, unless I'm
17	mistaken, in terms of concurrent or consecutive would be with
18	regard to the undischarged portion of his remaining federal
19	sentence.
20	MR. CREIZMAN: I understand that, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: And he would have gotten in other
22	words, assuming I imposed a sentence consecutive to the
23	portion, he gets credit for the four months he's already spent
24	as against his.
24	as against his.

 $\label{eq:mr.creizman:} \mbox{MR. CREIZMAN:} \quad \mbox{I believe that's not disputed.}$ 

	Proceedings 13
1	THE COURT: Before I finish, Mr. Toossi, did you
2	want to add something with respect to this?
3	MR. TOOSSI: Right. My understanding with respect
4	to his time that he spent incarcerated since he was writted
5	over from the state, that is not being credited towards his
6	prior conviction. What will happen after he receives a
7	sentence in this case is
8	THE COURT: He's on a writ?
9	MR. T00SSI: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Hasn't he finished serving his sentence?
11	MR. TOOSSI: He was on a writ, but then we writted
12	him out of state custody because his case was still pending
13	when we writted him out. That writ has been I'm actually
14	not sure what has happened to it, but it was hold on. Let
15	me figure out exactly how this worked because when we writted
16	him over from state custody when he had to go back for his
17	state case, the district attorney's office in Staten Island
18	actually had to writ him back to them. I don't believe that
19	our writ is still in effect. I think it's moot at this point.
20	I've actually never encountered that situation where
21	we didn't return him to state custody for another reason.
22	But, in terms of the time he actually spent in MDC,
23	yes, it's been since late August. In terms of the time
24	that
25	THE COURT: But was he brought back over during that

	Proceedings 14
1	time period to the state court?
2	MR. T00SSI: Yes, because he was sentenced in
3	November.
4	MR. CREIZMAN: That's correct.
5	THE COURT: I think you all are going to have to do
6	some calculations for me, in fairness to the defendant,
7	because I don't know how all this works, and I may be thinking
8	that he's getting credit for something that he's not getting
9	credit for.
10	So I think you need to check with the Bureau of
11	Prisons to make sure with this writ situation at what point in
12	time did he begin getting credit for his federal custody,
13	because if you're talking about writs and going back and
14	forth, he might not have been getting credit since August
15	because if he had not when was he sentenced in the state
16	court?
17	MR. CREIZMAN: November.
18	MR. TOOSSI: In November.
19	THE COURT: You believe he's been getting credit
20	since he's been here in August?
21	MR. T00SSI: That's
22	THE COURT: I don't think so.
23	MR. CREIZMAN: I mean, that's my understanding, but
24	I could be wrong.
25	It certainly I think, your Honor, I think that in

terms of the time that he's spent in prison, I do think that the concentrated time that he spent in prison should accomplish the goals of sentencing here, whether or not it counts under the Bureau of Prison rules or not. He has certainly learned his lesson.

THE COURT: Well, you know, I've had problems with the fact, and this is why I think it's important for someone to make these calculations and to make sure they're correct. Essentially, the extra time that he has spent encompasses this five-month period because the ninety days he got, and that was his sentence as a result of the cooperation, to the extent that there's an argument that he spent extra time, it would have been the five months.

MR. TOOSSI: Your Honor, just going back to on whether he's been getting credit since August. It's my understanding that he has because there was a permanent order of detention ordered by the magistrate judge when he was arraigned on the complaint back in August. So once there was actually a permanent order of detention from the federal court, we weren't holding him because he was in on a state sentence.

So, for example, if you had a witness who was coming in from the state, now the only reason why we have them in custody is because we're holding them for the state. Once we actually say we want to hold them, so even if the state said

	Proceedings 16
1	that person is to be released, which is in fact in this case
2	what would have happened in November once he was sentenced on
3	the state case, he wasn't released because there was a
4	permanent order of detention on this case. So, my
5	understanding
6	THE COURT: I'm not sure, though, if he is still in
7	state custody and you've got him on a writ that that is
8	correct.
9	MR. TOOSSI: Even if there's a permanent order of
10	detention?
11	THE COURT: Even if there's a permanent order of
12	detention.
13	I'm not sure he got that credit.
14	MR. TOOSSI: Your Honor, if you give me five
15	minutes, I can call over to the MDC Legal and find out what
16	their position is on that.
17	THE COURT: Well, what is the Government's position
18	about the, not legally, but in terms of the appropriate
19	sentence, about the five months that he spent in custody in
20	the state court in addition to the ninety-day sentence that he
21	got? Why isn't that something the Court could at least
22	consider?
23	MR. TOOSSI: Well, I certainly believe that it's
24	something that the Court should consider under 3553(a).
25	Our position is that the unique factors that led to

### Proceedings

that period of incarceration don't warrant a downward departure or variance in this case, and it's just one factor to consider, but it wouldn't be dispositive of what the ultimate sentence should be.

And specifically, and I've mentioned this in my letter, the conduct that led to his capture was specifically dangerous, specifically malicious. He had stolen a car. He had led the police on a chase over the Staten Island expressway. He could have killed somebody. What the Staten Island DA's office wants to do with that charge is up to them, and it's separate sovereigns, obviously, but his cooperation was not completed until shortly before he was brought into federal custody.

When Mr. Creizman is talking about wearing the wire in Rikers Island, that happened shortly before he was brought over. So there's only a very narrow period of time where he's not in Rikers in furtherance of his cooperation. And, if you look at the dates that he's pleading guilty to these cooperation agreements, they're not until -- it's not until July 2nd that he actually pleads guilty to this ultimate cooperation agreement which allows him to withdraw his felony plea and enter into a misdemeanor plea.

So, the Government's position in terms of this extra time is that extra time was actually spent to Mr. Thompson's credit. He was actually engaged in cooperation, and he was

given a benefit of not having to face a three-year mandatory minimum in the state and in its place had gotten a ninety-day sentence. So that extra time, it's hard for me to articulate this, and your Honor, I was thinking about exactly how to articulate it, but the equities are not with the defendant on that score just because he's already gotten a huge benefit of more than two years off of a state sentence.

I just wanted to briefly respond to something that was in Mr. Creizman's letter of December 20th. He says that the Government has not identified what credit Mr. Thompson has received in the instant case.

Well, your Honor, if he had pled guilty to a felony, he would be looking at a four-point enhancement, which would dramatically change his guidelines calculation. So, the fact that he was allowed to withdraw his plea and to replead to a misdemeanor, you know, brought down his guidelines by about ten months on the bottom end.

So, has he gotten a benefit in the state? Yes, more than two years off of his incarceration. Has he gotten a benefit in this case? Yes, almost ten months off of the bottom of the guidelines. That's a tremendous amount of credit for what he's done, and, frankly, to give him credit for that period is to, in practical effect, makes it so that there is really no punishment for leaving the halfway house and committing a crime while he was on release. Or, not while

he was on release. While he was on escape status.

So, you know, I know Mr. Creizman has characterized that position as callous and draconian, but my point isn't to be callous or draconian. It's more to say that there has to be a penalty.

Now, if the guidelines in this case were, you know, 120 months, I might be inclined to say yeah, give him a break for the five months because he's already going to be serving a substantial period of time in custody, but in this case where the guideline is so low, what that really ends up being is no punishment at all, and I don't think that that's appropriate. That's why when balancing those factors under 3553(a), I don't think that they militate in favor of a below-guideline sentence.

MR. CREIZMAN: Your Honor, I don't believe that the Government is giving Mr. Thompson any credit for his cooperation by taking that position.

By cooperating, he didn't get -- it's not like he walked away with a benefit from the state. He put his life on the line by wearing a wire in prison, and the state who had jurisdiction over this matter believed that its interests were satisfied by Mr. Thompson's -- by a ninety-day sentence.

Mr. Toossi and the Government may not like the way the Staten Island DA handled that case, and he might have handled it differently, but the bottom line is that Staten

> Marie Foley, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter

Island was the prosecuting authority, and it seems to me that the Government is asking for an upward departure in this case because of his behavior, you know, for the state offense.

The district attorney's office in Staten Island is perfectly satisfied with Mr. Thompson's ninety-day sentence and, in fact, is satisfied with that not reducing any sentence from, you know, taking away from the federal sense. Meaning it would be just as happy with the ninety days not counting towards, basically not taking away from his federal sentence. Meaning, you know, count all twelve months or thirteen months that he served in Rikers or the MDC towards the federal sentence.

Now, that doesn't mean your Honor has to -- that's not legally binding, but that to me says that Staten Island believes that what he's done has been substantial, and people who have cooperated have gotten away with a lot less and he's provided substantial cooperation.

I think the twelve months he served here are more than, or the thirteen months that he served is more than enough, more than sufficient to satisfy the four goals of sentencing.

And I'd like to add just one or two more points.

That his parents, who are here in this courtroom, are elderly.

They are in poor health. Mr. Douglas would like to be able to help them outside of prison and like to spend time with them.

### Proceedings

In addition to that, and as I said, he would like to care for his children. He would like to get a job. He would like to do something positive with his life. And I think that he turned a corner when he began cooperating for the state, for the local authorities. That's the first time that he did that.

MR. TOOSSI: I don't actually think that's true, but I don't think that it's necessary for me to respond to it.

I'm perfectly happy to accept that it's the first time, but the reality is, and I pointed this out in the letter, your Honor, is that he agreed to cooperate because he was looking at mandatory state jail time, upstate jail time, and that was the impetus to cooperate. It wasn't that he had turned a corner.

In terms of if we're going to look at Mr. Thompson's background. Explicitly I'm not arguing for where it should fall in the guidelines. I'm simply arguing for a guideline sentence, just to make that clear.

But, this wasn't the first time that Mr. Thompson had led the police on a high-speed chase. In 1999, and this is in paragraph 29 of the PSR.

MR. CREIZMAN: I'm sorry, paragraph?

MR. TOOSSI: Twenty-nine.

When police officers motioned the defendant to pull his car over, he ignored their directives and drove away

Marie Foley, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter

recklessly at a high rate of speed, in excess of 50 miles per hour in a 30 mile per hour zone ignoring two stop signs and weaving through traffic. He struck another vehicle during this incident, and when he was pulled over, he was found to be driving without a valid license.

I mean, that's almost the same conduct that he engaged in in 2009.

MR. CREIZMAN: Well, to be fair, your Honor, he was sixteen years old at the time of the incident that Mr. Toossi described.

MR. TOOSSI: But that's my point is that we don't see an arc of development in this defendant that says he's turning a corner. We see a defendant who's not learning.

I also sympathize with the difficult circumstances that Mr. Thompson grew up in, I certainly do, but he is, in five days he will be turning 28 years old. He's a grown man and has been for ten years, and at some point, he has to respond for his conduct. I think that comes down -- that's the theme of my argument, your Honor, is that there has to be a penalty for what he did, and to say well, you go into state custody and you cooperate, and that's a good thing, I'm not saying that that's a bad thing. I'm not saying that I don't approve of what the Staten Island DA did. My only point is that there was a separate crime unto itself which was the escape, which is a serious crime. I mean, he was given a

	Proceedings 23
1	chance by the BOP and he decided to flee. I think there
2	should be a punishment for that, and I don't think it should
3	just be lumped into with his cooperation in the state case.
4	MR. CREIZMAN: Your Honor, just a couple points in
5	response.
6	To say that Mr. Thompson cooperated because he was
7	trying to avoid a mandatory three-year sentence, that of
8	course, a reduction in sentence is always part of a motivation
9	of a cooperator. There is no question about that. And I
10	don't know why Mr. Toossi if he has information that Mr.
11	Thompson cooperated before, then he should say so, but not
12	suggest that he's done this before.
13	But, the fact of the matter is, is that the person
14	that Mr. Thompson cooperated against is now serving time in
15	federal custody partially because of Mr. Thompson's
16	cooperation, as I understand it.
17	MR. T00SSI: That's not true.
18	MR. CREIZMAN: That's not true?
19	MR. T00SSI: That's not true.
20	MR. CREIZMAN: Then my understanding is wrong, but I
21	know that he is serving time in federal custody.
22	And I also understand that the person Mr. Thompson
23	cooperated against was a person who killed a friend of his,

cooperated against was a person who killed a friend of his, and I think Mr. Thompson realizes that the life that he needs to live is not going to be with gangs. It's not going to be

24

## 24 Proceedings successful on the streets. I think he understands that. 1 2 And his girlfriend and the mother of his two 3 children has a very stable life. She's been employed for 4 quite a while. She puts other people into jobs, and I think that she will be a very good influence on Mr. Thompson in that 5 6 regard when he leaves prison. 7 THE COURT: All right. Well, why don't I give the 8 two of you a few moments to see if you can figure out what the 9 answer to my question is because I think it's an important 10 question to have answered before we proceed. 11 I will recall the case at quarter to eleven. 12 (Case adjourned.) 13 14 (In open court at 4:00 p.m.) (Judge Amon resumes the bench.) 15 16 (Defendant enters.) 17 COURTROOM DEPUTY: The United States against 18 Thompson. 19 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. 20 Mr. Toossi, did you find out the answer to the 21 question? 22 MR. TOOSSI: Yes, your Honor. I spoke with Adam 23 Johnson, who's in the legal department at the Bureau of 24 Prisons, and he consulted with Hank Sadowski, who is the 25 regional director of the BOP for the northeast.

understanding from speaking with them is that state time is not credited by the BOP. However, the only state time that the defendant served was the ninety days that he was actually sentenced to.

So, under Section 3585, he is credited with, the BOP in calculating his sentence and the amount of time he is to be incarcerated, will calculate from the ninety-first day that he was in state custody until the present.

THE COURT: And how much time, then, has he gotten credit for on the undischarged portion?

MR. TOOSSI: The ninety days will take him from November 18th until January 17th of this year.

Is that correct? November to December -- no, I'm sorry. February 17th of this year. So will be from February 17th of this year. So then from February 17th until the present, he will have the sentence on this case will -- let me back up.

He's got two federal issues right now. He's got the sentence that he didn't complete, and he's going to -- they're going to take his good time credit away. So it's not merely the four months between the June escape and the October anticipated release date. I didn't actually have BOP calculate exactly how much good time he was going to lose because they can't do that. That would actually be a facility in Texas that does that. But it looks like it's going to be

### Proceedings 26 1 about six or seven months. 2 So, the time -- they take that time and then whatever he gets on this case will be basically strung out 3 4 from February 16th of this year and --THE COURT: So how much federal time has he served 5 6 already? 7 February 17th until now would be --MR. TOOSSI: 8 MR. CREIZMAN: Wouldn't that be over ten months? 9 MR. TOOSSI: -- over ten months. 10 MR. CREIZMAN: I was thinking a little bit over. THE COURT: Why were we thinking earlier -- was I 11 12 under a misimpression because I thought he had gotten credit 13 for only like four months. 14 MR. TOOSSI: Well, that's -- see, that -- in my prior experience with cases where a defendant is taken out of 15 custody from the state, it hasn't implicated Section 3585. 16 17 the mantra that goes around is he doesn't get credit for state 18 time. And, in fact, that was why it was kind of difficult to 19 figure out exactly what was going to happen here because 20 people will say he doesn't get credit for state time, but 21 that's not entirely accurate. 22 For example, if the --23 THE COURT: When did he finish serving his 24 ninety-day sentence? Is that February 19th? MR. TOOSSI: February 16th, yeah. 25

#### Proceedings 27 So that's the end of his state sentence. 1 THE COURT: 2 MR. TOOSSI: And that's the time he doesn't get 3 credit for. 4 THE COURT: Right. But anything after, starting February 17th. 5 6 MR. TOOSSI: That implicates 3585 and he does get --7 So he's in federal custody. THE COURT: 8 MR. TOOSSI: Even though he's not in federal 9 custody, he gets --10 Why isn't he in federal custody as of THE COURT: 11 February 17th when he finished serving his state term? Why 12 isn't he in federal custody then? 13 MR. TOOSSI: I just want to make sure I understand 14 your question because I don't know if you mean practically why he wasn't transferred over, or legally speaking why aren't 15 we -- like is it a legal fiction that he's in federal custody, 16 17 because it's not clear to me what -- because he wasn't given 18 that ninety-day sentence until November of this year. 19 works retroactively, I guess, if that makes sense. 20 MR. CREIZMAN: If I could, I might be able to answer 21 the question because I read --22 THE COURT: Well, he got the ninety-day sentence. 23 MR. TOOSSI: Right. But he got it in November of 24 this year, and the way that the state calculated it is it's 25 basically, you know, we're sorry that you spent this much time

## Proceedings 28 1 in jail, but your sentence was actually this. That's what 2 they did. 3 Now, in terms of how BOP will calculate it, I mean, 4 they really could have saved us a lot of time by just giving him time served, but they didn't do that. They gave him a 5 6 definitive sentence. And I spoke with the ADA to understand 7 exactly why. 8 THE COURT: Why they do that. 9 MR. TOOSSI: Yeah. They were trying to help us. 10 The idea being that if it were a time-served sentence, he 11 would have to be taken back to Rikers Island for processing 12 and if it was a determinative sentence, it was cut off and he 13 was now federal custody. There was a misunderstanding on 14 their part as to what exactly needed to be done. 15 THE COURT: Are you positive then that he gets 16 credit? 17 MR. TOOSSI: That's my understanding from --18 THE COURT: So they go all the way back up to the 19 beginning, and they give him those three months. 20 So where has he been for the remaining five months? 21 In federal custody? 22 MR. TOOSSI: They will not -- they won't say that 23 he's in federal custody. What they will say is that under

official detention between February 16th and now, regardless

3585 he is in official detention, and the fact that he was in

24

	Proceedings 29
1	of whether it was at Rikers Island or at the MDC, that he will
2	get credit for that time, and that's because the offense
3	preceded the offense of this case preceded the offense in
4	that case.
5	THE COURT: Was he under an order of detention from
6	this court? He wasn't as of February, was he? Or was he?
7	MR. TOOSSI: No. The permanent order of detention
8	was entered by the Eastern District on August 27th of this
9	year.
10	THE COURT: So, effectively, he is now getting
11	credit for ten months, approximately ten months.
12	MR. T00SSI: Yes. Yes.
13	And now there are some what was the word that
14	they used for it? There is a specific type of letter. Judge
15	Garaufis has to actually sign off on his getting credit for
16	that period of time as it applies to that sentence.
17	THE COURT: Why does Judge Garaufis have to sign off
18	on that?
19	MR. TOOSSI: What the BOP apparently will do is
20	THE COURT: Do the parties believe that under these
21	circumstances, with Judge Garaufis having to sign off on this,
22	that this case should be before Judge Garaufis?
23	MR. T00SSI: No, only because
24	THE COURT: Well, because only Judge Garaufis knows
25	if he's going to sign off on that, correct?

MR. TOOSSI: That's correct.

THE COURT: And it seems like to me that this entire case ought to be before Judge Garaufis because the flight was from a sentence imposed by Judge Garaufis. And that's a big unknown, what Judge Garaufis will do, and it seems like to me that this all ought to be in context before the same judge if that unknown is out there because I may well be sentencing him believing that Judge Garaufis is going to do that and then Judge Garaufis might not do that.

It seems like to me, I have to say I spoke with Judge Garaufis about this case this morning, about whether it should be transferred to him. I didn't want to hold the matter up, and I was perfectly prepared to go forward with it. Judge Garaufis was also prepared to take the case.

Based on what you've told me now, I think it makes more sense to have Judge Garaufis do that as opposed to having me sentence him, or my engage in sentencing under the assumption that Judge Garaufis is going to do that, then Judge Garaufis not do that.

I mean, it really plays into what the appropriate sentence would be. Do you disagree, Mr. Creizman?

MR. CREIZMAN: I would talk to my client to see what his position is.

THE COURT: I don't know that he can oppose it.

MR. CREIZMAN: Right. But my position standing here

Marie Foley, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter

### Proceedings

is that he has been incarcerated for thirteen months, and I think that based on his parents' health and based on everything, you know, all the circumstances that are -- that I submitted in my memo, I really think that the Court could decide this case under 18 U.S.C. 3553(a) without further delay.

When I left the courtroom, Mr. Thompson's parents came over to me and said, "Can you do whatever you can to get him out? We need help. We can't walk around. We are not mobile." The father is blind, essentially blind.

THE COURT: Let me just stop you, Mr. Creizman.

To me it makes an enormous difference whether he gets credit for this time or doesn't get credit for the time.

It changes the sentence, in my mind.

I don't think that under all circumstances that Mr. Thompson necessarily, no matter what the circumstances are, will walk out of this courtroom today. So I think it's significant to any judge who sentences him to have the answer to this question. I don't think his picture is such that based on his prior record, on what happened in the state court, to say that no matter what he gets to go home today. I don't see it that way. It would make a difference if he -- I just think that that's a question that needs to be answered. I understand your position, but I think the answer depends on what happens.

Marie Foley, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter

	Proceedings 32
1	MR. CREIZMAN: Well, your Honor, I understand that.
2	And if that is an important consideration for the Court, I
3	can't oppose that, but I would say that
4	THE COURT: The question is whether it be I think
5	that because the only person who's going to answer that
6	question is Judge Garaufis, that then the entire matter ought
7	to be before Judge Garaufis.
8	MR. T00SSI: Your Honor, did you have any discussion
9	with Judge Garaufis about when he would be prepared to hear
10	the case?
11	THE COURT: No.
12	MR. CREIZMAN: Your Honor, could I make an
13	application for Mr. Thompson to be released on bail pending
14	Judge Garaufis' sentence? He would be on home detention with
15	electronic monitoring. He would accept, you know, all
16	conditions of release as strict as possible. All he would
17	want to do is take his parents to the doctor, to their
18	doctors, and perhaps take his children to school and to help
19	out in that regard.
20	THE COURT: I wouldn't be prepared to do that.
21	In having it reassigned, I'd communicate your view
22	that it needs to be done as soon as possible.
23	MR. CREIZMAN: I would appreciate that, but if it's
24	possible

THE COURT: I just think it's something that's

```
Proceedings
                                                                  33
1
    really out of my control.
 2
              MR. CREIZMAN:
                             Right.
 3
              But, is there any package that we could --
 4
              THE COURT:
                           I don't think that's appropriate.
              MR. TOOSSI: May I be heard on bail, your Honor?
5
 6
                          Well, unless you're willing to suggest
              THE COURT:
7
    that bail be granted --
8
              MR. TOOSSI: No.
9
              THE COURT: -- I don't think there's a need to be
10
    heard.
11
              MR. TOOSSI:
                           Okay.
12
              THE COURT: Let me talk to Judge Garaufis, and I
13
    will just express to him, you know, I don't know what his
14
    schedule is at all, but I will express to him that the parties
    desire to have this and dealt with as expeditiously as
15
16
    possible. But I do think that that prong makes it difficult
17
    for me to proceed.
18
              MR. CREIZMAN:
                             If that's the case, then it would
19
    seem appropriate to go before Judge Garaufis.
20
              THE COURT: All right. Let me see, and I'll have my
21
    courtroom deputy get back to you as soon as we find out.
22
              MR. CREIZMAN:
                              Thank you, your Honor.
23
              MR. TOOSSI:
                            Thank you, your Honor.
24
               (Defendant remanded.)
25
               (Time noted:
                            4:32 p.m.
```